

Before Intelligence: The Algorithms That Teach AI How to Learn

[Assem Hijazi – Experience, Knowledge & Ideas](#)

Contents

Introduction	3
1 The Big Misunderstanding: “AI Learns” vs “AI Is Trained”	4
Training Is Not Intelligence	4
Algorithms Are the Real Teachers	5
Why This Matters for the Digital Brain Concept.....	5
Transition to Section 2	5
2 Building a Learning-Ready Digital Brain: Before Data, Before Knowledge	7
Table 1 — Before Training: What Is Built Into the Digital Brain (Capabilities / Potential)	7
From Capability to Skill.....	8
Table 2 — After Training: Standard Output Skills (Usable Behaviors Most LLMs Share)	8
3 Standard Intelligence Skills: The Universal Capabilities of All LLMs.....	10
Why These Skills Must Be Universal.....	10
Table — Standard Intelligence Skills Built by General Algorithms	10
Standard Skills vs Domain Strength.....	11
Why These Skills Are Not Enough	11
Transition to Section 4	11
4 Domain-Specific Algorithms: Why Intelligence Cannot Be One-Size-Fits-All.....	12
Why Domains Are Fundamentally Different	12
Table — How Domains Shape Intelligence Differently	12
What Domain-Specific Algorithms Actually Do	13
Why LLMs Compete on Architecture, Not Just Size.....	13
Why No Single LLM Can Be the Best at Everything.....	14
The Architectural Reality.....	14
Transition to the Next Section	14
5 From General Intelligence to Multi-Skill Digital Brains	15
How Intelligence Is Actually Composed.....	15
Why This Is Called a Multi-Skill Brain	15

Human Parallel (Now at the Right Level)	16
The Direction AI Is Moving Toward	16
Transition to the Final Section	17
6 Why “Before Intelligence” Matters for the Future of AI	18
The Real Foundation Is Architectural, Not Behavioral	18
Why This Explains Strengths, Weaknesses, and Competition	18
Why This Changes How We Should Build AI Systems	19
Now that we understand:.....	19
Final Thought	19

Introduction

In the first four articles of this series, we explored how modern machine learning systems behave after training — how they operate at scale, how they stay useful over time, and how they function inside real-world systems.

In this article, we deliberately move one layer deeper. Because before an AI system can demonstrate intelligence — before it can answer questions, reason, specialize, or feel like a “digital brain” — it must first be **designed to learn**.

Intelligence does not begin with data. It begins with **architecture**.

Modern AI systems, especially Large Language Models, do not become intelligent simply by being exposed to massive datasets. Training can only succeed if the system already contains a learning-ready structure: a digital brain built with the ability to represent information, link patterns, manage context, and adapt through feedback.

That readiness does not come from data. It comes from **algorithms**.

Algorithms are the hidden teachers of machine learning. They define how learning is possible in the first place: how information flows, how relationships are formed, how relevance is selected, and how potential intelligence is shaped before any knowledge exists.

This article focuses on that often-ignored stage — **before intelligence becomes visible**.

We will explain:

- how a learning-ready digital brain is built before training begins,
- what standard intelligence capabilities are embedded through general algorithms,
- how training turns those capabilities into usable output skills,
- why domain intelligence requires algorithmic shaping rather than more data,
- and how modern AI systems evolve into multi-skill digital brains rather than single, flat intelligences.

The goal is not to describe what AI can do after training — but to understand **why it can do anything at all**. Because before intelligence appears, it is designed.

1 The Big Misunderstanding: “AI Learns” vs “AI Is Trained”

One of the most common misunderstandings about AI is the sentence we hear everywhere:

“The AI learns by itself.”

This sentence is **not wrong**, but it is **incomplete**.

In reality, modern AI systems — especially Large Language Models — do not “learn” in the human sense. They are **trained**, and that training follows **very strict rules** defined in advance.

Those rules are **algorithms**.

When we say an AI “learns,” what actually happens is this:

- The model makes a prediction
- The prediction is evaluated
- An error is calculated
- Internal parameters are adjusted
- The process repeats millions or billions of times

Nothing in this loop is spontaneous.

Nothing is emotional.

Nothing is intuitive.

It is a **controlled mathematical process**.

Training Is Not Intelligence

Training does not automatically create intelligence.

Training creates:

- Statistical sensitivity to patterns
- Numerical representations of relationships
- The ability to generalize from examples

Whether this becomes something that *feels* intelligent depends entirely on:

- **How learning is measured**
- **What is rewarded**
- **What is punished**
- **How fast adjustments happen**

- **Which internal paths are strengthened or weakened**

All of this is decided **before training even starts**. And this is where algorithms enter the story.

Algorithms Are the Real Teachers

Think of the model as a brain without education. Data is the textbook. But algorithms are the **teachers**.

They decide:

- What a “mistake” is
- What counts as “improvement”
- Which internal connections deserve credit
- How learning signals flow through the system

Without algorithms:

- Data is just noise
- Training never converges
- Intelligence never emerges

This is why two models trained on similar data can behave very differently: they were taught **under different rules**.

Why This Matters for the Digital Brain Concept

In earlier articles, we described the LLM as a **digital brain**. But a brain without learning rules is useless.

Just like humans:

- A child does not become intelligent simply by hearing words
- The brain must be taught **how to interpret, how to associate, and how to correct itself**

In AI, algorithms play exactly this role. They are not an implementation detail. They are the **foundation of learning itself**. And before we talk about intelligence, reasoning, creativity, or domain expertise, we must first understand **the learning loop** that all modern AI systems are built upon.

That is what we explore next.

Transition to Section 2

If algorithms are the teachers, and the model is the brain...
then how does this “digital brain” actually learn step by step?

In the next section, we will break down the **core learning loop** that powers modern machine learning — the simplest mental model that explains how AI improves over time.

2 Building a Learning-Ready Digital Brain: Before Data, Before Knowledge

Before an LLM can learn knowledge from data, it must first be built as a **learning-ready digital brain**.

This stage comes **before training**, and it is often ignored because people focus only on data and results. But in reality, training cannot even begin unless the system already has a foundation that can:

- represent information internally,
- connect patterns,
- manage context,
- and support learning behavior.

At this stage, the model has **zero facts** and **no domain knowledge**.

But it has something even more important:

It has the ability to learn.

This ability is not “learned from data.”

It is **designed** through architecture and general algorithms.

Table 1 — Before Training: What Is Built Into the Digital Brain (Capabilities / Potential)

Layer	What Exists Before Data	What It Enables
1. Input handling	A structured way to read inputs (tokens/sequence structure)	Understanding order, boundaries, and flow of language
2. Internal representation	Mechanisms to convert inputs into numerical meaning	Turning words into internal “meaning shapes”
3. Pattern association	Built-in ability to link related concepts	Connecting ideas across a sentence, paragraph, or dialogue
4. Context management	Mechanisms to keep track of what matters	Understanding that meaning changes by context
5. Abstraction ability	Ability to generalize patterns	Learning concepts, not just memorizing examples
6. Relevance selection	Built-in prioritization mechanisms	Focusing on important signals, ignoring noise
7. Learning readiness	A structure that can be adjusted later	Making training possible at all

These are **capabilities**, not knowledge.
They define what the model *can become* once training starts.

From Capability to Skill

Once the learning-ready brain exists, training begins.
Training does not create the brain; it **educates** it.
And when education happens, the digital brain starts producing **standard output skills** — the behaviors people experience as “intelligence.”

Table 2 — After Training: Standard Output Skills (Usable Behaviors Most LLMs Share)

Standard Output Skill	What It Looks Like in Real Use
1. Contextual understanding	The model answers differently depending on the surrounding text and intent
2. Summarization & condensation	It reduces long content into focused meaning
3. Explanation & teaching	It explains concepts step-by-step in human language
4. Pattern-based reasoning	It connects facts and produces structured conclusions
5. Comparison & evaluation	It compares options and highlights differences clearly
6. Planning & structuring	It builds outlines, workflows, checklists, strategies
7. Q&A across topics	It answers across many domains (with varying strength)
8. Retrieval-style behavior	It can “bring relevant things” into the response based on context (even without true memory)
9. Tool-like extension (when available)	It can search, calculate, call tools, or use external systems to complete tasks

These skills are what people usually call “intelligence.”
But they are actually the result of **training applied to a learning-ready architecture**.

Transition to Section 3

Now that we separated the two layers clearly:

- **Before training:** capabilities built into the digital brain

- **After training:** standard output skills produced by education

we can go deeper into the first category. In the next section, we will focus on the foundation:

What are the universal standard intelligence skills that general algorithms give the model — before domain specialization even begins?

3 Standard Intelligence Skills: The Universal Capabilities of All LLMs

Once a learning-ready digital brain is built, it does not start as a specialist. It starts with a set of **standard intelligence skills** — universal abilities that apply across all domains.

These skills are not knowledge, and they are not tied to healthcare, engineering, finance, or any specific field. They are the **baseline cognitive abilities** that allow the model to learn *anything at all*. They are created by **general algorithms**, and they exist in almost every modern LLM.

Why These Skills Must Be Universal

Every domain, regardless of its complexity, requires the same foundational abilities:

- understanding structure,
- detecting patterns,
- managing context,
- and producing coherent output.

If these skills were domain-specific, AI systems would need a different brain for every field — which is neither scalable nor realistic. Instead, modern LLMs rely on **shared foundational intelligence**, then specialize later.

Table — Standard Intelligence Skills Built by General Algorithms

Standard Skill	What the Skill Enables
1. Structured input understanding	Reading language as ordered, meaningful sequences
2. Internal meaning representation	Converting words into abstract internal structures
3. Pattern recognition	Detecting similarities, trends, and relationships
4. Association & linking	Connecting related ideas across context
5. Context awareness	Understanding that meaning depends on surrounding information
6. Abstraction	Generalizing from examples to broader concepts
7. Relevance prioritization	Focusing on important signals and ignoring noise
8. Combination & synthesis	Merging multiple ideas into a coherent response

9. Probabilistic decision-making	Choosing the most likely or useful output path
10. Output structuring	Producing organized, readable, human-like responses

These skills are **general-purpose**. They do not make the AI a doctor, an engineer, or a lawyer — but they make such specialization *possible*.

Standard Skills vs Domain Strength

Because these skills are universal, most LLMs appear “good at many things.”

However, being *capable* across domains is not the same as being *strong* in a domain.

At this stage:

- The model can discuss many topics
- But depth, accuracy, and reliability vary significantly

This is the natural limit of standard intelligence skills.

Why These Skills Are Not Enough

Universal intelligence creates breadth, not depth.

Real domains introduce:

- strict rules,
- safety constraints,
- causality requirements,
- validation standards,
- and risk tolerance differences.

Handling these requires **more than standard skills**. It requires **domain-specific algorithmic shaping**.

Transition to Section 4

Standard intelligence skills make AI broadly capable. Domain-specific algorithms make AI *reliable*, *accurate*, and *trusted*.

In the next section, we will examine **why different domains require different algorithmic priorities — and why no single LLM can be equally strong everywhere**.

4 Domain-Specific Algorithms: Why Intelligence Cannot Be One-Size-Fits-All

Standard intelligence skills make an LLM broadly capable. They allow it to read, summarize, explain, and reason across many topics.

But **broad capability is not the same as domain intelligence**. Real-world domains do not differ only in content. They differ in **how intelligence must behave**. This is where domain-specific algorithms become essential.

Why Domains Are Fundamentally Different

Every domain imposes its own rules on intelligence:

- What counts as correct?
- What level of uncertainty is acceptable?
- What mistakes are catastrophic?
- What must be explainable?
- What can be creative or approximate?

These differences cannot be solved by more data alone. They require **algorithmic shaping**.

Table — How Domains Shape Intelligence Differently

Domain	Intelligence Priority	What the Algorithms Must Enforce
1. Healthcare	Safety & causality	High precision, explainability, low hallucination tolerance
2. Engineering	Physical consistency	Mathematical validity, simulation logic, constraint enforcement
3. Finance	Risk awareness	Probabilistic reasoning, compliance, temporal sensitivity
4. Law	Interpretation & consistency	Precedent handling, structured reasoning, ambiguity control
5. Creative fields	Exploration	Variability, novelty, tolerance for ambiguity
6. Education	Clarity & progression	Step-by-step reasoning, adaptive explanation
7. Operations & ERP	Process correctness	Workflow logic, dependency tracking, determinism

This is why **the same LLM feels powerful in some domains and weaker in others**. Not because it lacks intelligence — but because the **algorithmic priorities do not fully align with the domain's needs**.

What Domain-Specific Algorithms Actually Do

Domain-specific algorithms do **not replace** standard intelligence skills. They **reshape them**.

They adjust:

- What signals are amplified
- What errors are unacceptable
- What outputs require justification
- How uncertainty is handled
- How deeply causality is modeled

In simple terms:

General algorithms make intelligence possible

Domain algorithms make intelligence trustworthy

Why LLMs Compete on Architecture, Not Just Size

This is a critical insight.

LLM competition is not only about:

- number of parameters
- size of training data
- speed or cost

It is increasingly about:

- architectural decisions
- algorithmic priorities
- domain alignment
- safety vs creativity trade-offs

Two models can share:

- similar size
- similar data

- similar general skills

Yet behave **very differently** in the same domain. That difference comes from **how the digital brain was shaped**, not how much it learned.

Why No Single LLM Can Be the Best at Everything

A model optimized for:

- medical safety
will feel restrictive in creative writing.

A model optimized for:

- creativity
will feel risky in healthcare or law.

This is not a weakness. It is a **structural reality of intelligence**. Human intelligence works the same way.

The Architectural Reality

Modern AI systems are moving toward:

- shared foundational intelligence
- layered domain-specific shaping
- modular algorithmic control

This is the only scalable path forward.

Transition to the Next Section

If intelligence must be shaped differently for different domains, then the real future challenge is not building smarter models — but **orchestrating multiple forms of intelligence together**.

In the next section, we will explore how **standard and domain-specific intelligence combine to form multi-skill digital brains** — and why this is the foundation of advanced AI systems.

5 From General Intelligence to Multi-Skill Digital Brains

At this point, the picture becomes clear. Modern LLMs are not a single, flat intelligence. They are **layered digital brains** that combine:

- general intelligence skills
- domain-specific algorithmic shaping
- and controlled output behaviors

Together, these layers form what we can call a **multi-skill digital brain**.

How Intelligence Is Actually Composed

An LLM does not “switch personalities” between domains. Instead, it operates through **stacked intelligence layers**:

1. **Foundational intelligence**
 - universal skills such as representation, abstraction, context handling, and synthesis
2. **Domain shaping layers**
 - algorithmic priorities that adjust accuracy, safety, causality, creativity, or rigor
3. **Output behavior layers**
 - how answers are structured, explained, constrained, or expanded

All three are active at the same time. This is why intelligence in AI is **compositional**, not singular.

Why This Is Called a Multi-Skill Brain

A multi-skill digital brain can:

- reason generally,
- specialize contextually,
- and behave differently without losing coherence.

This is fundamentally different from traditional software, where:

- one system = one function
- one algorithm = one behavior

In LLMs:

- skills overlap
- layers cooperate

- intelligence is blended, not isolated

This is closer to how **human intelligence** works.

Human Parallel (Now at the Right Level)

Humans do not have:

- one brain for medicine
- another for engineering
- another for creativity

Instead, we have:

- shared cognitive foundations
- shaped by education, rules, and experience
- expressed differently by domain

AI is following the same structural path — but implemented mathematically.

Why This Architecture Matters

Understanding this structure explains many real-world observations:

- Why an LLM can sound brilliant in one topic and weak in another
- Why adding data alone does not fix domain weaknesses
- Why fine-tuning sometimes helps — and sometimes doesn't
- Why enterprises need domain-aware AI, not generic chatbots

The issue is not intelligence. It is **how intelligence is orchestrated**.

The Direction AI Is Moving Toward

The future of LLM systems is not:

- one giant model doing everything equally well

It is:

- shared foundational intelligence
- layered domain intelligence
- governed behavior

- orchestrated outputs

In other words:

Intelligence as an architecture, not a single model.

Transition to the Final Section

If intelligence is layered, multi-skill, and domain-shaped, then the real challenge ahead is no longer training — but **control, coordination, and governance**.

In the final section, we will step back and explain **why this architectural understanding changes how we think about AI systems, competition, and the future of intelligent machines**.

6 Why “Before Intelligence” Matters for the Future of AI

This article deliberately stopped **before intelligence**. Not because intelligence is unimportant — but because understanding *how intelligence is prepared* is more critical than describing what intelligence looks like after the fact.

Modern discussions about AI often start at the surface:

- answers,
- accuracy,
- hallucinations,
- creativity,
- speed.

But all of these are **outcomes**, not causes.

The Real Foundation Is Architectural, Not Behavioral

What truly differentiates AI systems today is not only:

- how much data they have,
- or how large the model is.

It is:

- how the digital brain is constructed,
- which standard skills are embedded from the start,
- how domain intelligence is shaped,
- and how output behavior is governed.

In other words:

Intelligence is designed before it is trained.

Why This Explains Strengths, Weaknesses, and Competition

This architectural view explains:

- why some LLMs feel stronger in reasoning but weaker in creativity,
- why others excel in conversation but struggle with precision,
- why no single model dominates all domains,

- and why competition between LLMs is ultimately about **structure**, not scale alone.

Different digital brains are being built — not just bigger ones.

Why This Changes How We Should Build AI Systems

If intelligence is layered and multi-skill, then the future of AI is not:

- one generic chatbot,
- one universal model,
- one intelligence for everything.

The future is:

- shared foundational intelligence,
- controlled domain specialization,
- orchestrated behavior,
- and governed learning.

This is the only way AI can be:

- powerful,
 - safe,
 - scalable,
 - and trusted.
-

Now that we understand:

- how learning-ready digital brains are built,
 - how standard skills emerge,
 - how domain intelligence is shaped,
 - and how multi-skill digital brains form,
-

Final Thought

Before intelligence becomes visible, it is designed.

And whoever designs that foundation ,shapes the future of AI itself.